1	LAW OFFICES BUCHSBAUM & HAAG, I BRENT S. BUCHSBAUM, CSBN: 194816	LP FILED
2	brent@buchsbaumhaag.com LAUREL N. HAAG, CSBN: 211279	Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles
3	laurel@buchsbaumhaag.com 100 Oceangate, Suite 1200	06/25/2021
4	Long Beach, California 90802 Telephone: (562) 733-2498	Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer / Clerk of Cou By:B. Viola Deputy
5	Facsimile: (562) 628-5501	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff, KAVIN TURNER	
7	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
8	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – GOV. GEORGE DEUKMEJIAN COURT	
9		
10	KAVIN TURNER,	Case No.: 19LBCV00063
11	Plaintiff;	The Honorable Mark C. Kim
12	VS.	[PROPOSED] ORDER RE: FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION
13		SETTLEMENT
14 15	KBR INC., dba ELECTRO-TECH MACHINING, INC., a California	
15	corporation; ELOISE BARAJAS, an individual; and DOES 1 through 250,	Date: June 25, 2021 Time: 8:30 a.m.
17	inclusive;	Dept.: S27
18	Defendants.	Action Filed: 01/29/2019
19		First Amended Complaint: 4/08/2019
20		Trial Date: Not Yet Set
21		
22		
23	<u>ORDER</u>	
24		
25	On June 25, 2021, at 8:30 a.m. in Department S27, the Court heard Plaintiff's	
26	Unopposed Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement ("Motion") and Motion for	
27	Attorney's Fees and Costs. GOOD CAUSE appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the	
28	Motion is GRANTED as follows:	
	Page 1	
	[PROPOSED] ORDER RE: FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT	

BUCHSBAUM & HAAG, LLP 100 OCEANGATE, SUITE 1200 LONG BEACH, CALLFORNIA 90802 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1. The Court gives Final Approval to the Settlement as fair, reasonable, and adequate as to each of the Parties, and consistent with and in compliance with California law, and directs the Parties and their counsel to implement and consummate the Settlement Agreement in accordance with the Settlement Agreement's terms and provisions. The Court finds that the proposed relief with respect to the Class is appropriate, both as to the individual members of the Class and as a whole.

2. The Court finds that the notice program implemented pursuant to the Settlement Agreement (i) constituted appropriate notice, (ii) was reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise members of the Class of the pendency of the Litigation, their right to object or exclude themselves from the proposed Settlement, to appear at the Final Approval Hearing, and their right to seek monetary and other relief, (iii) was reasonable and constituted due, adequate and sufficient notice to all persons entitled to receive notice, and (iv) met applicable requirements of due process.

3. Solely for the purposes of effectuating the Settlement, this Court has concluded that certification of the class is appropriate and hereby certifies the Class as defined below (and in the Settlement Agreement) and further concludes that this definition is sufficient for purposes of California Rules of Court 3.765(a) and 3.771, and that the Settlement Agreement is binding on all Class Members, as defined below:

"All current and former non-exempt hourly employees who were subject to KBR's bell system directing meal periods while employed by KBR in Long Beach, California from four years prior to the date that Plaintiff filed his Second Amended Complaint (January 9, 2016) through the date the Court issues an order granting Preliminary Approval of this Settlement (February 19, 2021), excluding putative members who filed valid and timely Requests for Exclusion with the Claims Administrator."

17

BUCHSBAUM & HAAG, LLP

1

2

3

4. The Court finds that Plaintiff and Class Counsel adequately represented the Class for the purpose of entering into and implementing the Settlement.

5. The Court finds that there were zero opt outs and zero objections to the Settlement.

6. The Court adjudges that, upon the Effective Date, Plaintiff and the Class
 Members have fully, finally, and conclusively compromised, settled, discharged, dismissed and
 released any and all Released Claims as provided in the Settlement Agreement.

8. The Court approves Class Counsel's Attorney's Fees in the amount of \$25,308, and Costs in the amount of \$5,000. The Attorney's fees and litigation expenses shall be paid in accordance with the Settlement. The Parties are to bear their own attorney's fees and costs, except as otherwise provided in this paragraph.

9. The Court further approves payment of a service award in an amount of \$7,500 to class representative Kavin Turner in recognition of his services on behalf of the Class in this Action, which is in addition to his payment as a participating claimant. The service award will be paid in accordance with the terms of the Settlement.

10.The Court approves the Claims Administration Fee of \$8,000 to be paid to CPTout of the Gross Settlement Fund.

18 11. The Court approves the establishment of an interest bearing escrow account (the
19 "Settlement Escrow") by CPT for purpose of receiving and distributing the Gross Settlement
20 Amount in accordance with the Settlement and the Court's orders. The Settlement Escrow
21 established by this Order is intended to be a Qualified Settlement Fund within the meaning of
22 section 468B of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code") and Treasury
23 Regulations sections 1.468B-1, and will be subject to the continuing jurisdiction of this Court.

24 12. The Court approves the LWDA Payment in the amount of \$5,000.00, with
25 \$3,750.00 going to the LWDA and \$1,250.00 to be included within the Net Settlement Fund.

13. The Court approves the payments to the Participating Class Members, according
to the terms of the Settlement Agreement and the Final Approval Order and Judgment. Upon
the Effective Date, Plaintiffs and all members of the Settlement Class shall have, by operation of

this Order and Judgment, finally and forever released, relinquished, and discharged Defendant
from all claims as defined by the Settlement Agreement. Upon the effective date, Plaintiff and
all members of the Settlement Class shall be and are hereby permanently barred and enjoined
from instituting or prosecuting any and all claims released under the terms of the Settlement
Agreement.

14. Without affecting the finality of the Final Order for purposes of appeal, the Court reserves jurisdiction over the Parties as to all matters relating to the administration, enforcement, and interpretation of the terms of the Settlement Agreement and the Final Order and for any other necessary purposes.

15. This document shall constitute a Judgment for purposes of California Rule of Court 3.769(h). The Parties are ordered to cause a copy of this Order and Judgment to be posted by the Claims Administrator, CPT, on its website, in order to provide notice to the Class as required by California Rule of Court 3.771(b)

16. The Court will set a final accounting hearing on ______J# 16. The Court will set a final accounting hearing on ________ at $i \text{ K+E/set} \dot{E}$ ______ regarding the status of the settlement administration. Plaintiff should file aDeclaration regarding the status of the settlement administration at least 10 days before thehearing.

By

IT IS SO ORDERED.

06/25/2021 Dated: ____, 2021

Mark C. Kim / Judge Honorable Mark C. Kim

Page 4
[PROPOSED] ORDER RE: FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

LONG BEACH, CALIFORNIA 90802 100 OCEANGATE, SUITE 1200

BUCHSBAUM & HAAG, LLP

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28